This course examines how knowledge is produced, what it is used for, and what it means. Specifically, it looks to compare different forms of knowledge, their bases and purposes. In our society – even within our own university – we separate and distinguish different ways of making sense out of the world. Thus, English departments remain separate from departments of physics; an art studio is across campus from a mathematics building. Although such distinctions might seem obvious, if we think of all different disciplines as representing the learning of some set of ideas and/or skills, the obvious distinctions can become more blurred. In this course, you will consider where the edges between different ways of knowing exist, and even where the boundaries of knowledge itself must be. We will specifically use science, nonfiction, literature, and cultural systems, seeking to understand how each of these produce a unique understanding, how they interact with one another, and how they contrast.

Learning Outcomes:
The goals of this course are centered around the following learning objectives, scaffolded and assessed through the enumerated indicators. (Items marked with * are components of the Humanities General Education Breadth requirement, found at http://www.weber.edu/AcademicAffairs/humanities.html):

a. to evaluate knowledge, differentiate its sources, and assess its claims in a critical manner. Students will have opportunities to evaluate claims made on a scientific, literary, aesthetic, cultural, and other bases through
   1. student analyses of text (primary sources) and critical evaluations of such texts*
   2. students’ written composition of their own critical essays*
   3. individual student research, utilizing multiple sources of knowledge

b. to compare and contrast different ways of knowing, their different sources, and their different purposes, as students
   1. utilize, compare, and contrast various methodologies from multiple disciplines
   2. use and understand, in discussion and writing, terminologies and traditions of multiple disciplines*
   3. demonstrate understanding of philosophical stances of various disciplines and practices through their writing and discussion*

c. to create and analyze their own original, written works from different disciplinary foundations, utilizing the methodologies and theoretical frameworks of each. (Specifically, these will include: an empirical, scientific study based on a student’s original question and scientific method; a creative work based upon the student’s own experiences and literary technique presented in the course; and, an analysis of a cultural belief familiar to the student, based upon previous research conducted in social sciences.) These projects are built as students:
   1. understand core knowledge of each domain, including the humanities*, natural sciences, and social sciences
   2. create personally meaningful relationships between disciplines, as well as represent appropriate boundaries, evidenced in individual research projects and a final analysis
   3. appreciate and identify the specific problems that can be addressed by each discipline

Details:
Instructors: Adam Johnston Carl Porter
Phone: 626.7711 626.6872
Email: ajohnston@weber.edu cporter@weber.edu
Office: SL 207 SC 170
Office Hours: T/Th 11:30 – 12:30; W 10:00 – Noon or by appointment
Meeting Place: LL 230 Meeting Time: T/Th 9:00 – 10:15 AM
Final Exam Time: Tuesday, Dec 10; 9:30 – 11:20 AM
Required Texts:

- Fadiman, Anne (1998). The Spirit Catches You and You Fall Down. Farrar Straus & Giroux, ISBN: 0374525641. This text is an ethnographic piece that documents the interaction between Hmong culture and Western medicine. Used during the last section of the course, this will provide specific cases to prompt discussion and writings concerning the cultural sources of belief and how these play out in society and personal decisions.

- Lightman, Alan (1994). Einstein's Dreams. Warner Books, ISBN: 0446670111. This is a work of fiction, though clearly about a real person and real science. This imagines dreams that Einstein could have had about the nature of time as he was working on the theory of special relativity. It serves as a point for discussion about creativity in science, and provides a segue between the science and literary sections of the course.


- Shelley, Mary (1994/1831). Frankenstein. Signet Books, ISBN: 978-0743487580. This classic work will be used as a thread throughout the course. Of course, it's a work of fiction that has endured, but it also forces us to consider science and technology in society, the ethics of such, and how our culture shapes our worldview. As with O'Brien, Shelley utilizes multiple literary devices that we will study and use as models for our own writing.

Additional readings:
- Weekly reading assignments not included in texts will be available electronically/online.
- Often, our campus subscription to the New York Times will provide relevant discussion items.

Course Webpage:
Any updates to the course schedule and other relevant course info will be maintained on our WSU Online webpage, which you can find a link to here: physics.weber.edu/johnston/knowing

Course Outline: This course is composed of three distinct but integrated “units” and a final synthesis. A detailed course calendar is maintained on our online course management system. Pay attention to those specific dates, as well as course announcements made both in class and online.

- The Nature of Science (~5 weeks):
  - Readings: Shelley (begin), Lightman, and selected essays.
  - Assignments to include essays and response papers on science and in-class laboratories.
  - Research project: Select a topic that you will research independently and scientifically. You will design your own question, collect your own data, and do your own scientific analysis. Specific details will follow.

- The Nature of the Written Word (~5 weeks)
  - Readings: Shelley (continued), O'Brien, and selected essays/critiques.
  - Assignments: Response papers.
  - Research project: Create a written piece that explores a truth and reflect upon this piece and its creation. Details to follow.

- The Nature of Belief Systems (~4 weeks)
  - Readings: Fadiman, Shelley (finish), selected papers.
  - Assignments: Response papers
  - Research project: Describe an object, event, or other subject from two different cultural perspectives that you research, and then give an analysis for any contrast between these two interpretations. Details to follow.

- Final Synthesis (Finals week)
  - Final exam essay: In 1000 words or less, answer the following: What is truth?
  - Final synthesis: Reflect on and present your final understanding of knowing (as described in your “What is truth?” paper) for an informal presentation during the final exam period.
GRADING:

Grading is a funny thing: You pay good money for the privilege to learn new stuff, and then we seem to spoil it by giving you extra work to do. In a way, it would be nice if we could all just show up for class, think about the stuff, and say that we’re better for it. However, it usually turns out that we learn this stuff better if we’re continually reflecting upon, assessing, and gauging our own understanding. The main purpose of all of the actual “work” that you do in this course is designed to make you think more critically about the readings, engage in thoughtful discussions, and better understand the nature of knowing.

Grading will be based on several different activities: response papers/essay, research projects, in-class participation, and a two-part final. Your total grade will be assessed according to the percentages given above.

Response papers will be assigned approximately once per week. They will be reflections based on readings, activities, and questions, and are meant to prepare you for class discussions and keep you engaged with the course materials. Response papers should be roughly 2-3 pages in length (double spaced, typed) and should explicitly address a posed question or theme, utilizing the readings and discussions from the course. The response papers give you an opportunity to reflect on the readings of the course, prepare for the following week of class, clarify your own thinking, and simply work on your own writing skills. Each paper will be grading according to the following rubric:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>This paper is excellent, not only answering a question, but also reflecting deeply about your own views and how they compare/contrast with readings/discussion. This paper is free of any major typo/grammar/spelling errors, and is especially easy and interesting to read.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>This paper answers a question and/or has a focused theme and is well presented. It is complete overall, although you may have been able to go into more depth or incorporate readings/discussions more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Paper addressed the question, but not in depth and did not show as much reflection as was expected. You had a very busy week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Paper was turned in, but with very minimal effort. You had a rough week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Paper was not completed. You were skiing and/or studying organic chemistry instead.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all grading rubrics used in this course, we anticipate that letter grades will be assigned to correspond to a 4.0 scale (i.e., A = 4, B = 3, etc.), but this may be reevaluated in light of the instructors’ general grading tendencies and student performance over the course of the semester.)

Research projects will be discussed in more detail later. Don’t worry, it’s going to be fun. Essentially these three projects will enable you to inquire about a specific topic in three specific ways. Your final synthesis of the course will evaluate these three different ways of knowing and how they compare. To cap off the experience, you will also write a final essay regarding what “truth” is. These will be evaluated according to the following rubric. Note that these rubric standards are somewhat vague in order to be applied to each project’s specific objectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>This project was completed with an extraordinary amount of effort. Not only were all aspects of the project completed accurately and completely, but this project showed extra insight and clarity. This score is received on a small minority of projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4     | This project was completed accurately and completely. Any errors in this project are mostly insignificant. Essentially, this score is reserved for projects which reflect total integrity and accuracy, and are generally more sophisticated than the average project. The student learned more from this
project than most students in the class.

3  This is a good project. It was complete and generally accurate; and, though it might contain errors, the point of the project is well conceived. This student put an adequate amount of work into the project and it is evident that s/he learned something from it.

2  This project is mostly complete, but it might be missing a major component of the assignment. Or, this project might have many substantial errors in it. While the student completing this project probably learned something from it, s/he also may have missed some important points.

1  This project probably has some major flaws. This may be due to incorrectly completing the project, or just a large-scale lack of effort. This score is usually received by only a small minority of projects

0  This project was not completed, or did not satisfy enough project requirements to receive credit.

The category of “class participation” does not only suggest that you should show up for class, but that you should participate actively. This takes place in a variety of forms: being prepared for class, active listening, thoughtful responses, friendly yelling matches, respectful silence, active engagement in activities, etc. As an honors student in a foundational part of your program, it is presumed that your participation will be an everyday occurrence. Egregious lack of participation (e.g., not showing up to class regularly, disrespect towards others) will result in a zero in this category.

IMPORTANT NOTES:

• You are a vital part of this course and its success, and for this reason you need to show up regularly. Many other reasons exist to justify you waking up for an 9:00 AM class: First, the material covered in class is such that it is very difficult to get the same understandings and experiences on your own time outside of class. Second, there will occasionally be stuff to play with. Third, a part of your grade is based on participation. Finally, one of your instructors is known for doing idiotic, life-threatening (to himself, not to you) labs and demonstrations, and it is always interesting to see what might happen next; your other instructor is well known for clarifying truths and course objectives with legendary stories of his own and Ogden’s rich history. (You should try to decide which description describes which instructor.)

• Late work will be accepted for half credit if it is turned in within a week of its due date. Individual “dogate-it” and “had-to-get-married” stories will be considered on a case-by-case basis. In order to receive more leniency, notify the instructor in advance of any problems you might have.

• Academic dishonesty on any work will not be tolerated. Extreme violations will result in automatic failure of the course. In this course, it is difficult to imagine what academic dishonesty would look like, since so much work is necessarily very specific and of a particularly creative nature. But, we had to warn you anyway.

• Any student requiring accommodations or services due to a disability must contact Services for Students with Disabilities (SSD) in room 181 of the Student Service Center. SSD can also arrange to provide course materials (including this syllabus) in alternative formats if necessary. You are also welcome to discuss any special needs with the instructor, though you are not required to do so.

• This is intended to be a very interactive and student centered classroom. Please help us to make it so both by participating in class and by offering suggestions as to how to better structure the class. An inherent philosophy of this class is that knowledge is constructed in social arenas, so the expectation is that there will be great inspirations and new realizations made as we interact with one another. In fact, one of the benefits of teaching this class is that an instructor tends to learn as much (or more) from students as students should learn from instructors.

• Please do not hesitate to visit an instructor if you have any questions, concerns or comments about the course, or to discuss favorite cross-country ski routes, Bob Dylan, music, poetry, physics, beer-making, backpacking trails, etc. Often an instructor sits in an office, lonely and sad, during hours that should be filled with student interactions; so please feel free to drop in, even if it isn’t during a posted office hour. (The worst that could happen is you would be told to come back at another time.) Also, email tends to be an incredibly useful mechanism for getting in contact with instructors and getting your questions or comments responded to.