<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rating Suggestions</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Technology in research or scholarly activity** *(Category 1)* | How does the proposed project support a faculty research project or scholarly project at Weber State University?                                                                                           | 50 – Project has potential to achieve national/international recognition for WSU or faculty  
25 – Project has potential to achieve regional recognition for WSU or faculty  
10 – Project has potential to achieve local recognition for WSU or faculty                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 0-50  |
| **Software or Training** *(Category 2, 3 or 4)* | How does the project use discipline-specific software or training to support Weber State University?                                                                                                         | 50 – Project uses new or cutting-edge software/training to advance the discipline or course in innovative ways  
25 – Project updates an existing software/training to enhance the discipline or course (or uses established new technology with established methods)  
5 – Project maintains an existing software/training for standard uses                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |       |
| **Hardware or Equipment** *(Category 5)* | How does the project use discipline-specific hardware or equipment to support Weber State University?                                                                                                   | 50 – Project uses new or cutting-edge hardware/equipment to advance the discipline or a course in innovative ways  
25 – Project updates an existing hardware/equipment to enhance the discipline or course (or uses established technology with established methods)  
5 – Project maintains an existing hardware/equipment for standard uses                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |       |
| **Impact** | What impact will this project have on pedagogy/research at WSU, WSU students, or the WSU mission?                                                                                                           | 20 – Project will greatly enhance pedagogy, student experience, and/or WSU mission  
10 – Project will positively impact pedagogy, student experience, and/or WSU mission  
0 – Project will make no difference to pedagogy, student experience, and/or WSU mission                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 0-20  |
| **Evaluation** | How will you evaluate the success of your project?                                                                                                                                                         | 10 – Project presents a strong qualitative and/or quantitative evaluation plan  
5 – Project presents an adequately defined evaluation plan  
0 – Project lacks a clearly defined evaluation plan                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 0-10  |
| **Support** | Is there broad support for this project through matching funds, letters of collaboration with other areas on campus or in the community?                                                            | 10 – Project has demonstrated supports through matching funds and/or letters of collaboration with other areas on campus or in the community  
5 – Project has general support from the college or department  
0 – Project has no documentation of additional support                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 0-10  |
| **Cost** | Is the amount requested less than 10k?                                                                                                                                                                     | 10 – Less than 10K  
5 – Slightly over 10K  
0 – Substantially over 10K                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 0-10  |
| **POINTS TO BE DEDUCTED** |                                                                                                                                                    | 0 - Proposal is clear, concise, thorough, with attention to detail and strong argument for the significance of the project  
10 – Proposal is somewhat clear, with a weaker argument for the significance of the project  
20 – Proposal lacks clarity, thoroughness, and/or a passable argument for the significance of the project                                                                                                                                                                             | -(0-20)|