Course: Attribute:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | Proficient  | Feedback |
| Quality of evidence | - Multiple measures are included (direct and indirect, these can be quantitative and/or qualitative)-Reliable and valid evidence is collected for each outcome- There is depth of evidence (multiple measures, direct/indirect) -Clear description of assessment instrument or tool- Clear alignment to program/course outcome |  |
| Presence and nature of threshold | -Threshold is meaningful and aspirational (but reasonable)-A multi-stepped threshold is identified indicating both level of desired achievement and percentage of students to reach that level-Threshold is explained |  |
| Quality of interpretation | - Interpretation is robust and meaningful, and tied to an action |  |
| Quality of the described action | - There is an explicit, well-reasoned connection between the assessment results and proposed changes. The proposed changes are presented in measurable ways that can support a ‘closing of the loop’. |  |
| Other observations(optional – use if other issues or ideas are raised in the evaluation document) | Other course improvements are indicated, not necessarily tied to outcome measurement.Examples:- Incorporating new industry trends into a class- Wanting to try new approaches- Adopting a new textbook |  |