Weber State University Annual Assessment of Evidence of Learning

Cover Page

Department/Program: School of Accounting & Taxation: Master of Taxation

Academic Year of Report: 2016-17 Date Submitted: 11/30/2017

Report author: James Hansen

Contact Information:

Phone: 801.626.6433

Email: jameshansen2@weber.edu

A. Brief Introductory Statement:

Please review the Introductory Statement and contact information for your department displayed on the assessment site: http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html - if this information is current, please indicate as much. No further information is needed. We will indicate "Last Reviewed: [current date]" on the page.

If the information is not current, please provide an update:

The website of the department has changed to: "https://www.weber.edu/goddard/SchoolOfAccountingAndTaxation.html".

B. Mission Statement

Please review the Mission Statement for your department displayed on the assessment site:

http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html - if it is current, please indicate as much; we will mark the web page as "Last Reviewed [current date]". No further information is needed.

If the information is not current, please provide an update:

Information is current.

C. Student Learning Outcomes

Please review the Student Learning Outcomes for your department displayed on the assessment site:

http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html - if they are current, please indicate as much; we will mark the web page as "Last Reviewed [current date]". No further information is needed.

If they are not current, please provide an update:

Information is current.

D. Curriculum

Please review the Curriculum Grid for your department displayed on the assessment site:

<u>http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html</u> - if it is current, please indicate as much; we will mark the web page as "Last Reviewed: [current data]". No further information is needed.

If the curriculum grid is not current, please provide an update:

Information is current.

Note^a: Define words, letters or symbols used and their interpretation; i.e. 1= introduced, 2 = emphasized, 3 = mastered or I = Introduced, E = Emphasized, U = Utilized, A = Assessed Comprehensively; these are examples, departmental choice of letters/numbers may differ

Note^b: Rows and columns should be transposed as required to meet the needs of each individual department

Additional Information (if needed)

E. Assessment Plan

Please review the Assessment Plan for your department displayed on the assessment site: http://www.weber.edu/portfolio/departments.html - if the plan current, please indicate as much; we will mark the web page as "Last Reviewed [current date]". No further information is needed.

If the plan is not current, please provide an update:

The site should contain an up-to-date assessment plan with planning going out a minimum of three years beyond the current year. Please review the plan displayed for your department at the above site. The plan should include a list of courses from which data will be gathered and the schedule, as well as an overview of the assessment strategy the department is using (for example, portfolios, or a combination of Chi assessment data and student survey information, or industry certification exams, etc.).

Please be sure to include your planned assessment of any general education courses taught within your department. This information will be used to update the General Education Improvement and Assessment Committee's planning documentation.

Information is current.

F. Report of assessment results for the most previous academic year:

There are a variety of ways in which departments can choose to show evidence of learning. This is one example. The critical pieces to include are 1) what learning outcome is being assessed, 2) what method of measurement was used, 3) what the threshold for 'acceptable performance' is for that measurement, 4) what the actual results of the assessment were, 5) how those findings are interpreted, and 6) what is the course of action to be taken based upon the interpretation.

Assessment Tools and Procedures

MTAX	Outcome/Objective	Data Collection	Tools and	Eroguangy	Update for
MIAA	Outcome/Objective	Point	Procedures	Frequency	2015-2016
1	 Effective researchers and communicators Utilize relevant tax research databases as resources to gather information Prepare well-written letters and memos 	MTAX 6400MTAX 6400	 Course embedded— writing assignment Course embedded— writing assignment 	Semester taughtSemester taught	New OwnerNew Owner
2	 Understand and comply with tax laws and regulations Accurately complete income tax returns Demonstrate an understanding of the tax laws 	MTAX 6460MTAX 6460	 Course embedded assignment Course embedded—exam questions 	Semester taughtSemester taught	 No change in the current cycle to AoL processes. No change in the current cycle to

3	Ethically aware	• MTAX 6425	Course embedded—exam questions	• Semester taught	AoL processes. No change in the current cycle to AoL processes.
4	 Analytical thinkers Apply the appropriate tax law to factual situations 	• MTAX 6400	 Course embedded— writing assignment 	• Semester taught	• New Owner
5	Familiarity with international tax issues	• MTAX 6460	Course embedded— exam questions	• Semester taught	 No change in the current cycle to AoL processes.

Interpretation of data, Curricula Changes, and Interpretation of Changes

MTAX	Outcome/Objective	Threshold and Interpretation of data ^a	Closing-the-Loop	Interpretation	Update for 2016-17
1	Effective researchers and communicators • A. (MTAX 6400) Utilize relevant tax research databases as resources to gather information • B. (MTAX 6400) Prepare wellwritten letters and memos	 A. Fall 2016 80% of class achieves a score of 85% or better on assessed portion of final research assignment. Meeting std. A. Summer 2017 80% of class achieves a score of 85% or better on assessed portion of final research assignment. Meeting std. B. Fall 2016 85% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on assessed portion of final research assignment. Meeting std. B. Summer 2017 85% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on assessed portion of final research assignment. B. Summer 2017 85% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on assessed portion of final research assignment. 	A. Fall 2016 Three inclass research assignments were assessed during Fall 2016. These assignments involved rather simple tax issues as students had limited time to complete the assignments. A fourth inclass assignment will be added in the future. This fourth assignment will involve a more complex issue, and will be completed over two class periods. Providing students the opportunity to research a more complex issue in a lab environment should help bridge the gap as students analyze more complex issues in their individual research assignments. A. Summer 2017 Students will continue to complete a complex research assignment as an in-class activity over	A. Fall 2016 N = 13 11 (85%) students met or exceeded expectations. A. Summer 2017 N = 20 16 (80%) students met or exceeded expectations. B. Fall 2016 N=13 11 (85%) students met or exceeded expectations. B. Summer 2017 N=20 16 (80%) students met or exceeded expectations.	A. Fall 2016 Students responded to the in-class computer lab assignments. This hands-on experience provided practice in using online databases to find appropriate sources of tax law. The lab environment provided students an opportunity to request assistance in finding and interpreting relevant sources of tax law. Students struggled as the assignments for the course progressed from relatively simple issues to more complex scenarios. Specifically, for more complex scenarios, students failed to adequately analyze the taxpayer's situation. Students attempted to offer a conclusion/recommendation before gaining a complete understanding of the tax law related to the taxpayer's scenario.

	_	
Not Meeting std.	two class periods. This	A. Summer 2017 Students
	assignment will be	completed an in-class
	modified to focus	assignment that involved a
	primarily on case law,	complex tax issue. This
	providing students with	assignment was structured
	the opportunity to	to bridge the gap between
	evaluate case law in the	relatively simple research
	classroom, and receive	questions and more
	immediate feedback	advanced scenarios.
	regarding the	Students have struggled
	connections they make	with making this leap in the
	between each case and	past, and often developed a
	the complex tax issue.	conclusion/recommendatio
		n for a tax issue before fully
	B. Fall 2016 During Fall	understanding the
	2016, grammar	complexity of the more
	assignments were	involved scenario. Students
	provided to be completed	read and evaluated
	outside of class, but no	numerous court cases in
	course credit was	order to interpret a "gray"
	awarded related to these	area of the tax law. This
	assignments. The	exercise provided students
	syllabus was modified.	with an opportunity to
	Grammar assignments	evaluate case law and apply
	were retained, but these	this law to a complex tax
	assignments will now be	issue. While this
	completed for course	assignment improved
	credit. An additional in-	students' research skills
	class exercise will be	and ability to evaluate
	added to the syllabus.	complex issues, a number
	Students will review and	of students still fell short of
	critique drafts of	meeting expectations.
	completed research	Further improvement is
	memos to identify areas	necessary.
	for improvement.	
		B. Fall 2016 Students
	B. Summer 2017	responded positively to the
	Students will continue to	closing the loop activity, but
	review and critique drafts	a number of students were

			of completed research memos. More in-class time will be dedicated to evaluating the organization of these sample memos and identifying areas for improvement.		still deficient in terms of grammar and sentence structure. Additionally, students displayed weakness when organizing research memos. Students often included the correct content and citations, but their work suffered from poor organization, making their research memos difficult to read and understand.
					B. Summer 2017 Students responded positively to the closing the loop activities; there was noticeable improvement in terms of grammar and sentence structure. Students are still struggling with organization. While they use an appropriate framework for their memos, students struggle with presenting ideas/recommendations in a logical format.
2	Understand and comply with tax laws and regulations • A. (MTAX 6460) Accurately complete income tax returns • B. (MTAX 6460) Demonstrate an	 A. 80% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on corporate tax return assignment. Meeting std. B. 80% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on 	A. New method of teaching the corporate tax return will be continued and future assessment data will be used to pinpoint deficiencies.	A. Spring 2017 N = 18 The mean score for the class exceeded 90%. B. Spring 2017 N = 18 Students scored on average 84.8%	 A. As in previous cycle, doing practice return again produced data indicating greater fulfillment of course objectives. B. Additional reading material

	understanding of the tax laws	assessed exam questions. • Meeting Std.	•	B. New laws impacting corporations may be in place in Spring 2018 due to act of Congress. Will closely monitor legislative activities and incorporate new laws and assess learning of new laws.		was supplied to students. Student scores improved
3	• (MTAX 6435) Understand ethical issues and professional std.s in tax practice	 80% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on assessed exam questions. Standard met. 	•	Plan to supplement with in-class working examples that spur discussion related to ethical questions.	Fall 2016 N= 23 89% of students meet or exceeded expectations.	Students improved scores dramatically with new textbook and presentation of ethical standards.
4	• (MTAX 6400) Apply the appropriate tax law to factual situations	 Fall 2016 80% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on assessed portion of tax research assignment Not meeting standard Summer 2017 80% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on assessed portion of tax research assignment Meeting standard 	•	Fall 2016 Three in-class research assignments were assessed during Fall 2016. These assignments involved rather simple tax issues as students had limited time to complete the assignments. A fourth in-class assignment will be added in the future. This fourth assignment will involve a more complex issue, and	Fall 2016 N=13 10 (77%) students met or exceeded expectations. Summer 2017 N=20 16 (80%) students met or exceeded expectations.	responded positively to the closing the loop activity. This hands-on experience provided practice in using online databases to find appropriate ources of tax law. The lab environment provided students an opportunity to request assistance in finding and interpreting relevant sources of tax law. Students struggled as the assignments for the course progressed from relatively simple issues to more complex scenarios. Specifically, students often

	.,,,	
	will be completed	failed to establish why a
	over two class	specific court case was
	periods. Providing	important to a taxpayer's
	students the	situation. Students would
	opportunity to	cite and discuss a case, and
	research a more	assume that the reader
	complex issue in a	would understand
	lab environment	how/why the case
	should help bridge	supported their
	the gap as	conclusion/recommendatio
	students analyze	n. Will monitor
	more complex	performance.
	issues in their	
	individual	Summer 2017 Students
	research	responded positively to the
	assignments.	closing the loop activity.
		There was noticeable
	• Summer 2017	improvement as students
	Students will	advanced from simple
	continue to	research issues to more
	complete a	complex situations.
	complex research	Students are still struggling
	assignment as an	with making appropriate
	in-class activity	connections between case
	over two class	law and complex tax
	periods. This	situations. They focus on
	assignment will be	the outcome of the case
	modified to focus	without considering all of
	primarily on case	the factors that led to the
	law, providing	outcome, and how these
	students with the	individual factors should
	opportunity to	influence their
	evaluate case law	conclusion/recommendatio
	in the classroom,	n. Students develop
	and receive	conclusions too quickly,
	immediate	before considering all of the
	feedback	details of a complex
	regarding the	situation.
	connections they	
<u> </u>		1

				make between each case and the complex tax issue.		
5	• (MTAX 6460) Familiarity with international tax issues	 80% of class achieves a score of 80% or better on assessed exam questions. Meeting std. 	•	Expand coverage of international tax issues related to corporations as a component of course content; measure for another cycle.	Spring 2017 N= 18 Students' mean scored exceeded 90% on international tax questions. Broad overview was understood	Monitor performance of expanded international tax component of course

G. Summary of Artifact Collection Procedure

Artifact	Learning Outcome Measured	When/How Collected?	Where Stored?
Final research assignment rubric	Learning Outcome 1	In-class	With outcome owner.
Tax Return Assignment Rubric	Learning Outcome 2	In-class	With outcome owner.
Exam Questions Results	Learning Outcome 3	Exam	With outcome owner.
Tax Return Assignment Rubric	Learning Outcome 4	In-class	With outcome owner.
Exam Questions Results	Learning Outcome 5	Exam	With outcome owner.

Appendix A

Please provide the following information about the full-time and adjunct faculty contracted by your department during the last academic year (summer through spring). Gathering this information each year will help with the headcount reporting that must be done for the final Five Year Program Review document that is shared with the State Board of Regents.

Faculty	
Headcount	16
With Doctoral Degrees (Including MFA and other terminal degrees, as specified by the institution)	12
Full-time Tenured	8
Full-time Non-Tenured (includes tenure-track)	3
Part-time	1
With Master's Degrees	4
Full-time Tenured	
Full-time Non-Tenured	3
Part-time	1
With Bachelor's Degrees	
Full-time Tenured	
Full-time Non-tenured	
Part-time	
Other	
Full-time Tenured	
Full-time Non-tenured	
Part-time	
Total Headcount Faculty	
Full-time Tenured	8

Full-time Non-tenured	6
Part-time	2

Please respond to the following questions.

- 1) Based on your program's assessment findings, what subsequent action will your program take?
 - During the past four years, several new members have joined the faculty. We have starting reviewing learning outcomes and changed faculty assignments for assessment based on this faculty change. We will now work to have a greater portion of the faculty involved in assessment. Revisions will be on going.
- 2) We are interested in better understanding how departments/programs assess their graduating seniors. Please provide a short narrative describing the practices/curriculum in place for your department/program. Please include both direct and indirect measures employed.
 - Besides the methods mentioned above, the major way that we assess students graduating from the Master of Taxation program is through the Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Exam. We are able to track how are students are doing on the CPA exam following graduation. We also give our graduating seniors a survey. One of the questions deals with employment upon graduation. This is an indirect way to measure whether the students have learned the material taught in the program and whether an external source (the employer)values and rewards the students learning by offering them a job.