

Health Administration Program Accreditation by AUPHA

25 of the 28 Criterion were met. One was Partially Met, and two were Not Met. The Accreditation Review Team recommended Full Certification and indicated the program is in "Substantial Compliance".

Section 30 – Program Evaluation & Improvement

Criterion 26 – Partially Met

Requirements;

26a. Describe how the program measures student progress towards mastery of student learning objectives. Include a description of the types of evaluation tools (preceptor assessments, student evaluations, course deliverables, etc.) used in these processes.

26b. Provide a brief description of student outcomes for the last 3 years. Where possible, show trends of outcomes over time.

26c. Describe how the program reviews student achievement and outcomes and uses that information to drive program improvement.

Program Plan of Correction – Program and Departmental tool “Evidence of Learning and Student Outcomes Reports” will be reviewed for every class taught at the end of each academic year. During the accreditation self-study year program was reviewing course learning outcomes for selected classes every other year. Although not an accreditation requirement, the review committee suggested learning outcomes be reviewed more frequently.

Section 31 – Program Evaluation & Improvement

Criterion 27 – Not Met

Requirements;

27a. Describe the body or person(s) primarily responsible for ongoing evaluation and assessment of the program's attainment of goals, objectives and outcomes.

27b. Describe the process used to assess the program's progress towards stated goals, objectives and outcomes.

27c. Describe how program evaluation tools have been used with students, faculty, alumni, and practitioners to identify strengths and weaknesses in the program structure and educational processes.

27d. Upload the report from your most recent program assessment process. This report should list program goals, objectives and outcomes, how they were measured, and progress against those goals.

Program Plan of Correction – Program specific goals, objectives and indicated measures listed in Criterion 2b will be added to the dept/program initiatives document. Program will align the goals and objectives to the strategic initiatives process and will collect data to demonstrate tracking of program effectiveness. This correction has been implemented.

Section 32 – Program Evaluation & Improvement

Criterion 28 – Not Met

Requirements;

28a. Describe how the data gathered from the processes described in Criteria 26 and 27 are used to inform and drive program improvement.

28b. Describe programmatic changes made since the last AUPHA certification process and the impetus for these changes. (For programs undergoing certification for the first time, please list changes over the past three years.)

28c. Upload the most recent program improvement action plan.

Program Plan of Correction – This corrective action is similar to the previous action described in Criterion 27, with one additional effort; Program will incorporate an exit survey assignment in the internship course to capture recommended data and information needed for accreditation. This action has been implemented.