Weber State University Biennial Report on Assessment of Student Learning

Department/Program: School of Radiologic Sciences, MSRS Program

Academic Year of Report: 2022 and 2023 (covering Summer 2021 through Spring 2023)

Date Submitted: 11/27/2023

Report author(s): Tanya Nolan, Chair

Contact Information: Phone: 801-626-8172

Email: tanyanolan@weber.edu

Table of Contents

- A: Mission Statement
- B: Student Learning Outcomes
- C: Curriculum Grid
- D: Program Contact Information
- E: Assessment Plan
- F: Student Achievement
- G: Evidence of Learning
- Appendices
 - o A: Recommendations
 - o B: Program Faculty
 - o C: Questions
- Glossary

The Institutional Effectiveness website hosts a page for each program that displays assessment reports and information. All available biennial assessment and program review reports are located at the bottom of the program's page on our site. As a part of the biennial report process, we ask that you please review your page for completeness and accuracy, and indicate below the changes that need to be made in sections A-E.

Program page link: https://www.weber.edu/le/Results/Radiologic_ScienceM.ntml						
A. M	Aission Statement					
	Information is current; no changes required: Yes No Update if not current: There is a small typo in the first sentence. Please use lower case n.					
B. S	B. Student Learning Outcomes (Please include certificate and associate credential learning outcomes)					
	Information is current; no changes required: YesNo					
Stude	nts completing the Master of Science in Radiologic Sciences will be able to demonstrate the following competencies:					
0	Patient Care and Education					
0	Patient Care and Education Professional Development and Research					
0						
	Professional Development and Research					
	Professional Development and Research Biologic Effects and Safety					

C. Curriculum Grid

(Please review your current curriculum grid and verify that at least one course has been identified for each outcome in which you expect your students to demonstrate the desired competency of a graduating student. This could be shown in a variety of ways: classroom work, clinical or internship work, a field test, an ePortfolio, etc. You may request access to the Google Sheet on our site if that is easiest, or we can make the updates. Please reach out to oie@weber.edu if you wish to have access)

Information is current; no changes required: Yes _	No
Update if not current	

	MSRS Areas of Emphasis				
	Innovation and Improvement (36)	Radiologist Assistant (RA)(62)	Cardiac Specialist (59)		
MSRS Core (12) Supportive Courses	MSRS 6100 (3) Research Methods MSRS 6120 (3) Research & Statistics MSRS 6900 (3) Capstone: Clinical Fellowship and Portfolio MSRS 6999 (3) Master's Thesis Students select 24 hours of credit	MSRS 6100 (3)Research Methods MSRS 6120 (3) Research & Statistics MSRS 6900 (3) Capstone: Clinical Fellowship and Portfolio MSRS 6999 (3)Master's Thesis All supportive courses required for certification	MSRS 6100 (3) Research Methods MSRS 6120 (3) Research & Statistics MSRS 6900 (3) Capstone: Clinical Fellowship and Portfolio MSRS 6999 (3) Master's Thesis All supportive courses required for certification		
	MSRS 6140 (3) Clinical Laboratory Correlation MSRS 6150 (3) Grant Writing for the Imaging Clinical Scientist MSRS 6200 (3) Population Health in Radiologic Sciences MSRS 6210 (3) Global Heath in Medical Imaging MSRS 6220 (3) International Competency in Medical Imaging MSRS 6430 (3) Clinical Pathways MSRS 6450 (3) Managing Health Information MSRS 6461 (3) Leadership in Clinical Practice MSRS 6463 (3) Problem Patient Management MSRS 6481 (3) Current Trends in Pediatric Imaging MSRS 6482 (3) Current Trends in Cardiovascular Imaging MSRS 6483 (3) Musculoskeletal Sonography MSRS 6485 (3) Current Trends in Visual Analytics MSRS 6486 (3) Pathological Review Across Imaging Modalities MSRS 6487 (3) Current Trends in Pain Management MSRS 6493 (3) Advanced 3D Medical Imaging MSRS 6992 (1-3) Seminar MSRS 6850 (3) Study Abroad	MSRS 6130 (3) Functional Hemodynamics MSRS 6140 (3) Clinical Laboratory Correlation MSRS 6200 (3) Population Health in Radiologic Sciences MSRS 6403 (3) Evaluation of the Osseous System MSRS 6413 (3) Evaluation of the Chest MSRS 6423 (3) Evaluation of the Abdomen & GI System MSRS 6433 (3) Evaluation of the Genitourinary System MSRS 6443 (3) Clinical Pathways MSRS 6453 (3) Evaluation of the CNS and Facial Structures MSRS 6463 (3) Problem Patient Management MSRS 6473 (3) Nonvascular Invasive Imaging Procedures MSRS 6484 (3) Sonographic Fundamentals for Invasive Guidance MSRS 6860 (3) Clinical Preceptorship MSRS 6861 (3) Clinical Preceptorship MSRS 6863 (3) Vascular Invasive Imaging Procedures MSRS 6863 (3) Vascular Invasive Imaging Procedures MSRS 6861 (2) Transition to Practice	MSRS 6130 (3) Functional Hemodynamics MSRS 6140 (3)Clinical Laboratory Correlation MSRS 6200 (3) Population Health in Radiologic Sciences MSRS 6263 (3) Advanced Diagnostic Services Pharmacology MSRS 6310 (3) Evaluation of the Cardiac System MSRS 6311 (3) Interventional Cardiac Procedures I MSRS 6312 (3) Interventional Cardiac Procedures II MSRS 6313 (3) Interventional Cardiac Procedures III MSRS 6461 (3) Leadership in Clinical Practice MSRS 6493 (3) Advanced 3D Imaging MSRS 6860 (3) Clinical Preceptorship MSRS 6861 (3) Clinical Preceptorship MSRS 6862 (3) Clinical Preceptorship MSRS 6482 (3) Current Trends in Cardiovascular Imaging MSRS 6484 (3) Sonographic Fundamentals for Invasive Guidance MSRS 6910 (2) Transition to Practice		

Departmental Competencies	Patient Care & Education	Professional Development	Clinical Competency &	Procedures, Anatomy, and	Instrumentation & Quality	Biological Effects & Safety
Measured for all		and Research	Medical Ethics	Pathophysiology	Control	
Radiologic						
Sciences						
Programs						
MSRS Courses	MSRS 6200	MSRS 6100	MSRS 6263	MSRS 6130	MSRS 6443	MSRS 6311
	MSRS 6210	MSRS 6120	MSRS 6481	MSRS 6140	MSRS 6450	MSRS 6473
	MSRS 6220	MSRS 6150	MSRS 6482	MSRS 6310	MSRS 6484	MSRS 6863
	MSRS 6463	MSRS 6461	MSRS 6860	MSRS 6312	MSRS 6485	
	MSRS 6486	MSRS 6999	MSRS 6861	MSRS 6313	MSRS 6493	
	MSRS 6850		MSRS 6862	MSRS 6403	MSRS 6992	
			MSRS 6900	MSRS 6413		
			MSRS 6910	MSRS 6423		
				MSRS 6433		
				MSRS 6453		
				MSRS 6483		
				MSRS 6486		

^{*}The above grid is used for all direct measures of learning. All courses utilize pre- and post-testing with multiple choice questions, case studies, simulated clinical scenarios, and radiographic reconstructed and segmented images, as appropriate. All MSRS students complete a Master's Thesis project, and within the clinical emphases of RA and Cardiac Specialist, students are required to demonstrate eligibility and success on ARRT and CCI Board examinations. The pass rate is monitored for effective assessment.

This could be shown in a variety of ways: classroom work, clinical orinternship work, a field test, an ePortfolio, etc.

this could be shown in a variety of ways. classroom work, enficial officerising work, a field test, an er officino, etc.				
Objective	Internal Measurement	External Measurement	Data / Evidence of Learning	
Patient Care & Education	Successful Completion of	a) Graduate Surveys	Formative Assessment:	
The student will demonstrate:	Courses:	and Advisory Board	a) Students discuss appropriate patient care skills	
a) Patient assessment,	MSRS 6200	Evaluations and	during online and face to face discussions.	
monitoring, and management	MSRS 6210	Recommendations	b) Student demonstrate patient care skills	
skills inclusive of responding to	MSRS 6220	b) ARRT & CCI	through clinical education and simulation.	
diverse patient populations.	MSRS 6463	Certification Pass Rates	c) Students participate in global research, global	
b) Patient education, safety, and	MSRS 6486		competency coursework, and or study abroad.	
comfort skills	MSRS 6850		Evidence is based on journal entries, group	
			research agendas, and overall changes in	

			attitudes/behaviors toward diverse patient populations. Summative Assessment: a) Students complete clinical competencies related to patient care. b) Students complete comprehensive examinations and successfully pass patient care sections of their Board examinations. c) Students complete the Master's Thesis with a tie to global competency and/or diversity. c) Faculty review individual course, graduate, and employer evaluations.
Professional Development & Research The student will: a) Knowledge and application of research and learning. b) Assessment of research topics and methods with a lens of diversity, inclusion, and cultural competency.	Successful Completion of Courses: MSRS 6100 MSRS 6120 MSRS 6461 MSRS 6999	a) Graduate Surveys and Advisory Board Evaluations and Recommendations b) Professional organization (i.e. ASRT, SDMS) membership and participation.	Formative Assessment: a) Students participate in global research, global competency coursework, and or study abroad. Evidence is based on journal entries, group research agendas, and overall changes in attitudes/behaviors toward diverse patient populations. b) Students learn to support chapters of a MSRS thesis with sound research principles, resources, and grammar. Students complete all components of the MSRS theses over the course of the MSRS core curriculum. Summative Assessment: a) Students complete case studies, white papers, and statistical analysis of data. b) Students complete the Master's Thesis with a tie to global competency and/or diversity. c) Students defend and present on their research agenda. d) Students present research via presentations

			and/or posters for professional organizations.
Clinical Competency &	Successful Completion of	a) Graduate Surveys	Formative Assessment:
Medical Ethics	Courses:	and Advisory Board	a) Students discuss professionalism, medical
The student will demonstrate:	MSRS 6263	Evaluations and	ethics, and law during online and face to face
a) Legal, professional, and	MSRS 6481	Recommendations	discussions and incorporate current literature
ethical responsibility	MSRS 6482	b) ARRT & CCI	from professional sources.
b) Clinical competency,	MSRS 6860	Certification Pass Rates	b) Students simulate procedures via role play
professionalism, and lifelong	MSRS 6861 MSRS 6862		and/or simulation technology.
learning.	MSRS 6900		c) Clinical instructors/preceptors evaluate their
	MSRS 6910		student(s) multiple times throughout the semester
			on professionalism, patient care, and
			competency.
			Summative Assessment:
			a) Students must submit a comprehensive
			application for certification examinations that
			outline the professional and ethical
			responsibilities of a certified professional.
			b) Students document clinical hours,
			competencies, and evaluations as evidence of
			their certification eligibility.
			c) Certification pass rates are reviewed yearly.
Procedures, Anatomy, and	Successful Completion of	a) Graduate Surveys	Formative Assessment:
Pathophysiology	Courses:	and Advisory Board	a) Students simulate procedures via role play
The student will demonstrate:	MSRS 6130	Evaluations and	and/or simulation technology.
a) Knowledge of anatomy,	MSRS 6140	Recommendations	b) Students identify anatomy and pathology in
physiology, and	MSRS 6310	b) ARRT & CCI	case review and case study presentations.
pathophysiology	MSRS 6312	Certification Pass Rates	
	MSRS 6313		Summative Assessment:
	MSRS 6403		a) Students complete comprehensive
	MSRS 6413		examinations, and student scores are compared
	MSRS 6423		to certification requirements and outcomes.
	MSRS 6433		b) Certification pass rates are reviewed yearly.
	MSRS 6453		

	MCDC < 402		
1	MSRS 6483		
	MSRS 6486		
Instrumentation & Quality	Successful Completion of	a) Graduate Surveys	Formative Assessment:
Control	Courses:	and Advisory Board	a) Students simulate procedures via role play
The student will demonstrate:	MSRS 6443	Evaluations and	and/or simulation technology.
a) Appropriate application of	MSRS 6450	Recommendations	b) Students involve themselves in Advanced
technical training.	MSRS 6484	b) ARRT & CCI	technologies including, but not limited to:
	MSRS 6485	Certification Pass Rates	sonographic POCUS procedures, 3D imaging,
	MSRS 6493		and AI
	MSRS 6992		
			Summative Assessment:
			a) Students complete comprehensive
			examinations to demonstrate competency.
			_ _ -
			,
Biological Effects and	Successful Completion of	a) Graduate Surveys	Formative Assessment:
Assessment	_	•	a) Students simulate and practice radiation safety
The student will demonstrate:	MSRS 6311	Evaluations and	
a) Appropriate patient safety.	MSRS 6473	Recommendations	
	MSRS 6863	b) ARRT & CCI	Summative Assessment:
		Certification Pass Rates	
			_ _ _
			,
Biological Effects and Assessment The student will demonstrate: a) Appropriate patient safety.	MSRS 6473	Recommendations b) ARRT & CCI	b) Students identify new technologies and training in their student portfolio. Formative Assessment: a) Students simulate and practice radiation safet via role play and/or simulation technology. Summative Assessment: a) Students complete comprehensive examinations to demonstrate competency. b) Students gain pertinent signatures from certified experts in their field of study during clinical education.

D. Program and Contact Information

Information is current; no changes required: Yes ______No___

Update if not current: MSRS Director Robert Walker, Ph.D. Rwalker2@weber.edu 801-626-7165 MSRS RA Educational Director Dr. Laurie Coburn lauriecoburn@weber.edu 801-626-6514

MSRS Cardiac Specialist Educational Director Chris Steelman csteelman@weber.edu 801-626-6126

E. Assessment Plan

We have traditionally asked programs to report on outcome achievement by students at the course level. We are encouraging programs to consider alternative assessment approaches and plans that are outcome-based as opposed to course-based, though course-based assessment can continue to be used. A complete assessment plan should include:

- a timeline (which courses or which outcomes will be assessed each year),
- an overall assessment strategy (course-based, outcome-based, reviewed juries, ePortfolio, field tests, etc.)
- information about how you will collect and review data
- information about how the department/program faculty are engaged in the assessment review.
- Update if not current:

Timeline	Objective	Emphasis - Data	Program Faculty Engagement
		Reviewed	Cardiac Specialist: Chris Steelman
			RA: Dr. Laurie Coburn
			Innovation: Dr. Robert Walker
Course specific	Patient Care &	Cardiac Specialist –	Faculty supervise simulation projects at WSU campus and clinical
projects and	Education	Simulation Projects &	competencies are supervised by affiliate clinical instructors. All
grades are		Clinical Competency	students must complete 100% of all certifying (ARRT or CCI)
monitored and		RA – Simulation Projects & competencies to qualify for board examinations.	
reviewed at the		Clinical Competency	
end of each		Innovative – Global	All innovative students are active contributors to the MSRS
semester.		Competency Presentations	Research agenda which has a focus on DEI and global
			competency.

Composite	Professional	Cardiac Specialist – Case	All faculty assist students in their research and education for
scores,	Development &	study thesis.	master's thesis. In our clinical-based emphases, students focus on
certification	Research	RA – Case study thesis.	case study research and presentations. In the Innovation emphasis,
exams, and		Innovative – Research	the focus is on DEI and global competency.
clinical		agenda master's thesis.	the focus is on B21 and groom competency.
performances	Clinical	Cardiac Specialist –	Within the clinical emphases, clinical logbooks are reviewed by
are reviewed	Competency &	Clinical Education	WSU faculty on a regular basis and are graded based on clinical
annually.	Medical Ethics	Competency	skills, behaviors in professionalism, patient care, and clinical
amiaany.	Wedical Lines	RA-Clinical Education	hours. Within innovation, students may choose several clinical
		Competency	electives wherein they are required to achieve 80% or higher and
		Innovative – Capstone	approach current trends in practice. A capstone review of learned
		review	skills is required in MSRS 6900 and reviewed by Dr. Walker.
	Procedures,	Cardiac Specialist –	Within the clinical emphases, certified clinical faculty educate
	Anatomy, and	Clinical Competency &	students in several body systems and procedures as related to their
	Pathophysiology	body systems coursework.	specialties. All students must achieve above 80% or higher in
	rathophysiology	RA–Clinical Competency	these courses. Within innovation, students may choose several
		& body systems	clinical electives wherein they are required to achieve 80% or
		coursework.	higher and approach current trends in practice. A capstone review
		Innovative – Capstone	of learned skills is required in MSRS 6900 and reviewed by Dr. Walker.
	Instrumentation	Review Cardiac Specialist –	
		_	Within the clinical emphases, examination competencies cannot
	& Quality	Simulation Projects &	be achieved without an understanding of instrumentation,
	Control	Clinical Competency	equipment, and quality control. Additionally, students use a
		RA – Simulation Projects &	cardiac simulator and role play invasive procedures. Innovative
		Clinical Competency	students are required to take electives demonstrating current
		Innovative – Capstone	trends in instrumentation and advanced imaging options. These
		Review	courses require up-to-date information and faculty assistance in
			providing the most current information, guest speakers, and
			application specialists. A capstone of learned skills is required in
	D: 1 1 1		MSRS 6900 and reviewed by Dr. Walker.
	Biological	Cardiac Specialist –	All students within the clinical emphasis receive training in
	Effects &	Simulation Projects &	Biological Effects and Safety. These principles are effectively
	Assessment	Clinical Competency	tested upon during certification examinations and faculty prepare
		RA – Simulation Projects &	students through comprehensive practice examinations on which
		Clinical Competency	students must achieve 80% or higher. Students within the
		Innovative – Global	innovative track review the expectations of biological effects in
		Competency Presentations	relation to current practice and global health. This is discussed

			during global competency presentations with Dr. Walker and Dr.
			Parkinson.
Comprehensive	Comprehensive	•Employment rates	In addition to the information above, the following is collected
External	Review:	•Advisory Board surveys	externally to inform us of our graduates' critical thinking, clinical
Assessments	External	•Graduate surveys	competency, research skills, and program effectiveness.
are reviewed	Sources	• Institutional review	
Annually &		•Student course evaluations	
composite		•Student exit evaluations	
scores are		•Clinical experience and	
reviewed every		certification examination	
3 years.		results	

F. Student Achievement

Please come back to this section later. The dashboard is being updated and is not yet on Site Manager. OIE will send out an email when it is ready.

Percent and number of students completing degrees within 2 years of achieving 90+ credit hours (or just time to graduation for graduate programs) and a reflection on that metric.

Here are instructions on how to access this information:

- 1. Log into the eWeber portal
- 2. Search for, and select the app, "Report Gallery"
- 3. Agree to the FERPA warning
- 4. In the Report Gallery search for Program Review Undergraduate you can enter that text into the search bar or you can scroll downthe list of dashboards until you find it.
- 5. Select the tab at the top labeled "Time to Grad" at the top of the page.
- 6. Select your Program Unit and Program Level on the right side
- 7. Select Priority 1 under Priority

You should now be in the right settings for understanding your program's time to graduation. Please reflect on what you are seeing, discuss any highlights or concerns, and outline what initiatives the program is doing to address the numbers shown. If you require assistance or have questions, please email oie@weber.edu. You may use a screenshot of the information shown in the dashboard as a part of your report.

G. Evidence of Learning

^{*}The report gallery is currently unavailable, and I cannot gather this information.

The acceptable threshold for all student achievement is 80% or above. This meets the standard as determined by our certifying bodies including the ARRT and CCI.

There are a variety of ways in which you can choose to show evidence of learning, including the traditional Evidence of Learning Rubric, the updated Evidence of Learning worksheet, a narrative describing your assessments and evidence of student learning, or other tools such as ePortfolios, Signature Assignments, juried reviews, and so on, or a combination of any of these.

Whichever method you choose, please include:

- 1. Each learning outcome addressed in the course, and an interpretation of the outcomes as necessary to help outside reviewers understand the learning goals
- 2. The methods used to assess learning for each outcome ideally, each outcome will be measured with at least two different methods, e.g., multiple quiz questions and a signature assignment, multiple exam questions and lab reports, course discussions and homework assignments, etc.

Learning Objectives are reviewed in Section C with both formative and summative assessments.

3. The threshold of acceptable performance – preferably a multi-stepped threshold, such as "80% of students will score 80% or better on the set of quiz questions" – and brief explanation for why that target was selected

The acceptable threshold for all student achievement is 80% or above. This meets the standard as determined by our certifying bodies including the ARRT and CCI.

4. The results of the assessment for each outcome. If possible, include specifics such as the number of students who meet, exceed, or fall short of the threshold.

Patient Care and Education: 100% of the students in the program scored 80% or higher at the end of each course list on grid sequence.

Professional Development and Research: 98% of the students in the program scored 80% or higher at the end of each course list on grid sequence. 95% of all students will complete the MSRS research agenda thesis and presentation.

Clinical Competency 100% of the students in the program scored 80% or higher at the end of each course list on the grid sequence. Complete clinical competency as required by the ARRT and/or CCI credentialing.

Procedures, Anatomy, & Pathophysiology: 100% of the students in the program scored 80% or higher at the end of each course list on grid sequence.

Instrumentation And Quality Control: 100% of the students in the program scored 80% or higher at the end of each course list on grid sequence.

5. A reflection on, or interpretation of, the findings. For example, if 100% of students correctly answer all quiz questions, might they need

to be too easy?

The overall success of students in patient care, clinical competency, anatomy, and instrumentation is reflected in their scores on board examinations. Currently, the average score on a certifying board examination among students is 81%. To pass, a student must achieve 75% or higher. Thus, the scores are neither too high nor too low as pass rates for all students are above 95%. In regard to research, because of the efforts of faculty and the building of a research agenda, we have had less than 2 students over the past 3 years fail to complete the master's thesis.

- 6. A plan of action to address the findings, even if the threshold was met, and/or reflection on changes made as a result of (or in the interim since) the last biennial report.
 - We completed a large curriculum change last year and maintained our core of research for all students, but we felt it would be important to bring in global competency and current trends to enhance the skills assessed among our innovative group. Thus far, we have received excellent feedback from students and advisory boards. Now, each emphasis is more effective at extending clinical skills unique to their focus.
- 7. How you plan to monitor and assess the success of changes you will make/have made ("close the loop"). We will complete a full review of the new curriculum at the end of the academic year. We will assess student products (including the thesis, presentations, and comprehensive exams) across the curriculum.

Appendix A

Most departments or programs receive a number of recommendations from their Five/Seven-Year Program Review processes. This page provides a means of updating progress towards the recommendations the department/program is enacting.

Date of Program Review: 2017	Recommendation	Progress Description
Recommendation 1	Concern: In an effort to assist prospective students to the Department of Radiologic Sciences, a link from the Radiologic Sciences Homepage is recommended.	The MSRS Webpage has been edited and updated by Cathy Wells.
Recommendation 2	Consider space resources for MS program; to grow the program: equipment, classroom and additional faculty. Add new faculty with degrees and a full time Director.	We have managed our growing program through a hybrid model. Also, we have supported faculty progression in earning a post-graduate doctoral degree, and two current faculty members have reached this goal over the years of this assessment. Dr. Tanya Nolan was the Director of the MSRS since 2019, and Dr. Robert Walker has taken on this role since Summer of 2023.
Recommendation 3	Implement new course offering with core and elective as well IPE focused course worksee examples listed below	We completed a large curriculum update in 2022. Several new courses have been implemented with relevant themes, including global competency and IPE collaboration. We continue to recruit International students and expand international opportunities.

Additional narrative:

Appendix B

Please provide the following information about the full-time *and adjunct faculty* contracted by your department during the last academic year (summer through spring). Gathering this information each year will help with the headcount reporting that must be done for the final Five-Year Program Review document that is shared with the State Board of Regents.

Faculty Headcount	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
With Doctoral Degrees (Including MFA and other terminal degrees, as specified by the institution)				
Full-time Tenured	2	2	2	2
Full-time Non-Tenured (includes tenure-track)	1	1	1	3
Part-time and adjunct	4	4	4	3
With Master's Degrees				
Full-time Tenured	1	1	0	0
Full-time Non-Tenured	0	0	1	2
Part-time and adjunct	0	0	0	1
With Bachelor's Degrees				
Full-time Tenured	0	0	0	0
Full-time Non-tenured	0	0	0	0
Part-time and adjunct	0	0	0	0
Other				
Full-time Tenured	0	0	0	0
Full-time Non-tenured	0	0	0	0
Part-time	0	0	0	0
Total Headcount Faculty				
Full-time Tenured	3	3	2	2
Full-time Non-tenured	1	1	2	5
Part-time	4	4	4	4

Appendix C

Please respond to the following questions.

1) Looking back at your previous biennial report where you identified strategies for improvement, what progress has been made in implementing improvements?

Our number one focus on the previous biannual report was a focus on increased diversity and global experience. We have progressed in reaching this focus by implementing the new curriculum including courses in global health and international studies. We have also shifted our research agenda to require both discussions and methods including diverse perspectives and culturally competent practices. A key as we move forward is to reinstate Study Abroad and maintain highly diverse coursework supported by industry experts that identify and respond to rapidly changing technology and practices in Radiologic Sciences.

- 2) Please take a few minutes to review the new DFWI dashboard in the Report Gallery. This dashboard allows you to see the percentage of students in each course who earn a D+, D, D-, E, W, UW, or NC grade. The data can be filtered by several parameters. Reflect on the DFWI rates overall and of your underserved minority students versus your Caucasian students:
 - a. What are you seeing?
 - b. What concerns you?
 - c. What additional data could be beneficial?
 I am unable to review the Report Gallery as it is not available.
- 3) We have invited you to re-think your program assessment. What strategies are you considering? What support or help would you like?

For future assessment, I believe I would like to create an overarching database wherein faculty may actively input both formative and summative assessment data from their individual courses. In this way, we would have an active and reactive database with shared responsibility from which we could make informed decisions. This would also reduce the arduous task of gathering data that is widely spread across programs.

Glossary

Student Learning Outcomes/Measurable Learning Outcomes

The terms 'learning outcome', 'learning objective', 'learning competency', and 'learning goal' are often used interchangeably. Broadly, these terms reference what we want students to be able to do AFTER they pass a course or graduate from a program. For this document, we will use the word 'outcomes'. Good learning outcomes are specific (but not too specific), are observable, and are clear. Good learning outcomes focus on skills: knowledge and understanding; transferrable skills; habits of mind; career skills; attitudes and values.

- Should be developed using action words (if you can see it, you can assess it).
- Use compound statements judiciously.
- Use complex statements judiciously.

Curriculum Grid

A chart identifying the key learning outcomes addressed in each of the curriculum's key elements or learning experiences (Suskie, 2019). A good curriculum:

- Gives students ample, diverse opportunities to achieve core learning outcomes.
- Has appropriate, progressive rigor.
- Concludes with an integrative, synthesizing capstone experience.
- Is focused and simple.
- Uses research-informed strategies to help students learn and succeed.
- Is consistent across venues and modalities.
- Is greater than the sum of its parts.

<u>Target Performance</u> (previously referred to as 'Threshold')

The level of performance at which students are doing well enough to succeed in later studies (e.g., next course in sequence or next level of course) or career.

Actual Performance

How students performed on the specific assessment. An average score is less meaningful than a distribution of scores (for example, 72% of students met or exceeded the target performance, 5% of students failed the assessment).

Closing the Loop

The process of following up on changes made to curriculum, pedagogy, materials, etc., to determine if the changes had the desired impact.

Continuous Improvement

An idea with roots in manufacturing, that promotes the ongoing effort to improve. Continuous improvement uses data and evidence to improve student learning and drive student success.

Direct evidence

Evidence based upon actual student work; performance on a test, a presentation, or a research paper, for example. Direct evidence is tangible, visible, and measurable.

Indirect evidence

Evidence that serves as a proxy for student learning. May include student opinion/perception of learning, course grades, measures of satisfaction, participation. Works well as a complement to direct evidence.

HIEE – High Impact Educational Experiences

Promote student learning through curricular and co-curricular activities that are intentionally designed to foster active and integrative student engagement by utilizing multiple impact strategies. Please see https://weber.edu/weberthrives/HIEE.html